1 The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 BACKPAGE.COM LLC, 9 Plaintiff, No. C12-954-RSM 10 and ANSWER TO AMENDED 11 THE INTERNET ARCHIVE, COMPLAINT TO DECLARE INVALID AND ENJOIN 12 Plaintiff Intervenor, ENFORCEMENT OF WASHINGTON SENATE BILL 6251 FOR VIOLATION 13 OF THE COMMUNICATIONS VS. DECENCY ACT, AND THE FIRST 14 ROB MCKENNA, Attorney General of AND FIFTH AMENDMENTS AND Washington, et al., COMMERCE CLAUSE OF THE 15 UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION Defendants, in their official capacities. 16 17 Defendant DANIEL T. SATTERBERG, King County Prosecuting Attorney and defendants 18 RANDY J. FLYCKT, Adams County Prosecuting Attorney; ANDREW K. MILLER, Benton 19 County Prosecuting Attorney; GARY RIESEN, Chelan County Prosecuting Attorney; DEBORAH 20 S. KELLY, Clallam County Prosecuting Attorney; ANTHONY F. GOLIK, Clark County 21 Prosecuting Attorney; REA L. CULWELL, Columbia County Prosecuting Attorney; SUSAN I. 22 BAUR, Cowlitz County Prosecuting Attorney; STEVEN M. CLEM, Douglas County Prosecuting 23 ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT TO DECLARE INVALID AND ENJOIN Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney ENFORCEMENT OF WASHINGTON CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building SB 6251 (C12-954-RSM) - 1 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104

(206) 296-8820 Fax (206) 296-8819

Attorney; MICHAEL SANDONA, Ferry County Prosecuting Attorney; SHAWN SANT, Franklin County Prosecuting Attorney; MATTHEW L. NEWBERG, Garfield County Prosecuting Attorney; ANGUS LEE, Grant County Prosecuting Attorney; H. STEWARD MENEFEE, Greys Harbor County Prosecuting Attorney; GREGORY M. BANKS, Island County Prosecuting Attorney; SCOTT ROSEKRANS, Jefferson County Prosecuting Attorney; GREG ZEMPEL, Kittitas County Prosecuting Attorney; LORI LYNN HOCTOR, Klickitat County Prosecuting Attorney; JONATHAN L. MEYER, Lewis County Prosecuting Attorney; JEFF BARKDULL, Lincoln County Prosecuting Attorney; MICHAEL DORCY, Mason County Prosecuting Attorney; KARL SLOAN, Okanogan County Prosecuting Attorney; THOMAS A. METZGER, Pend Oreille County Prosecuting Attorney; MARK LINDQUIST, Pierce County Prosecuting Attorney; RANDALL K. GAYLORD, San Juan County Prosecuting Attorney; RICHARD A. WEYRICH, Skagit County Prosecuting Attorney; ADAM N. KICK, Skamania County Prosecuting Attorney; MARK K. ROE, Snohomish County Prosecuting Attorney; STEVE TUCKER, Spokane County Prosecuting Attorney; JON TUNHEIM, Thurston County Prosecuting Attorney; DANIEL BIGELOW, Wahkiakam County Prosecuting Attorney; JAMES L. NAGLE, Walla Walla County Prosecuting Attorney; DAVID S. McEACHRAN, Whatcom County Prosecuting Attorney; DENIS P. TRACY, Whitman County Prosecuting Attorney; JAMES P. HAGARTY, Yakima County Prosecuting Attorney, in their official capacities, herewith "defendants" in answer to plaintiff's Amended complaint to Declare Invalid and Enjoin Enforcement of Washington Senate Bill 6251 for Violation of the Communications Decency Act, and The First and Fourteenth Amendments and Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution admit, deny and state as follows:

22

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT TO DECLARE INVALID AND ENJOIN ENFORCEMENT OF WASHINGTON SB 6251 (C12-954-RSM) - 2

1

## 2

3

45

6

7 8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

## INTRODUCTION

- 1. Defendants admit only that this is an action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §2201, to enjoin enforcement of a new Washington law, Senate Bill 6251 9SB 6251". All other allegations contained in paragraph 1 of plaintiff's amended complaint are denied.
- 2. Defendants admit only that SB 6251 was scheduled to take effect on June 7, 2012, that its purpose is to prevent sex trafficking of children, that advertising commercial sexual abuse of a minor is a class c felony subject to criminal penalties under Washington law, and that SB 6251 applies to a person who "knowingly publishes, disseminates, or displays, or causes directly or indirectly to be published, disseminated, or displayed any advertisement for a commercial sex act, which is to take place in the state of Washington and that includes the depiction of a minor. All other allegations contained in paragraph 2 of plaintiff's amended complaint are denied.
- 3. Defendants admit only that in a prosecution under SB 6251 "it is not a defense that the defendant did not know the age of the minor depicted in the advertisement." Defendants further admit only that it is a defense that "the defendant made a reasonable bona fide attempt to ascertain the true age of the minor depicted in the advertisement". All other allegations contained in paragraph 3 of plaintiff's amended complaint are denied.
- 4. Defendants lack knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or the falsity of the allegations regarding Tennessee, New York and New Jersey and therefore deny the same. All other allegations contained in paragraph 4 of plaintiff's amended complaint are denied.
- 5. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of plaintiff's amended complaint.

1		PARTIES	
2	6.	Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragra	aph 6 of plaintiff's amended
3	complaint.		
4	7.	Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragra	aph 7 of plaintiff's amended
5	complaint.		
6	8.	Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragra	aph 8 of plaintiff's amended
7	complaint.		
8	9.	Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragra	aph 9 of plaintiff's amended
9	complaint.		
10		JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
11	10.	Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragra	aph 10 of plaintiff's amended
12	complaint.		
13	11.	Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragra	ph 11 of plaintiff's amended
14	complaint.		
15	12.	Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragra	aph 12 of plaintiff's amended
16	complaint.		
17		FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS	
18	13.	Defendants are without information sufficient to form	n a belief as to the truth or
19	falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of plaintiff's amended complaint and therefore		
20	deny the same.		
21	14.	Defendants admit only that in September 2010, Craig	gslist did remove the adult
22	services category from its website. Defendants are without information sufficient to form a belief as		
23	ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT TO  DECLARE INVALID AND ENJOIN  ENFORCEMENT OF WASHINGTON  SB 6251 (C12-954-RSM) - 4  Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attornome CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-8820 Fax (206) 296-8819		

to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 14 of plaintiff's amended complaint and therefore deny the same.

- 15. Defendants admit only that Backpage.com allows users to post in a multitude of categories and subcategories. Defendants are without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 15 of plaintiff's amended complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 16. Defendants are without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of plaintiff's amended complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 17. Defendants are without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of plaintiff's amended complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 18. Defendants are without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of plaintiff's amended complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 19. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of plaintiff's amended complaint.
- 20. Defendants are without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of plaintiff's amended complaint and therefore deny the same.
- 21. Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 21 of plaintiff's amended complaint.

23

21

22

ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT TO DECLARE INVALID AND ENJOIN ENFORCEMENT OF WASHINGTON SB 6251 (C12-954-RSM) - 5

SB 6251 (C12-954-RSM) - 6

**Daniel T. Satterberg**, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-8820 Fax (206) 296-8819

(206) 296-8820 Fax (206) 296-8819

1	40.	Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 40 of plaintiff's amended		
2	complaint.			
3	41.	Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 41 of plaintiff's amended		
4	complaint.			
5	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
6	Defendants deny that plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief sought in plaintiff's prayer for			
7	relief in paragraphs $1-4$ on pages 10 and 11 of plaintiff's amended complaint.			
8	By way of further answer and affirmative defenses, and without admitting anything			
9	previously denied, defendants state as follows:			
10	1. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.			
11	2. Plaintiff lacks standing to bring this action.			
12	3. Plaintiff is not entitled to relief in equity pursuant to the doctrine of unclean hands			
13	DATED this 5 <sup>th</sup> day of July, 2012.			
14				
15		DANIEL T. SATTERBERG King County Prosecuting Attorney		
16				
17		By: <u>s/David J. Eldred</u>		
18		DAVID J. ELDRED, WSBA No. 26125 Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys		
19		Attorneys for Defendants		
20		By: <i>s/Amy K. Eiden</i> AMY K. EIDEN, WSBA No. 35105		
21		Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys Attorneys for Defendants		
22				
23	ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT TO			
	DECLARE I	INVALID AND ENJOIN  Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Att		

ENFORCEMENT OF WASHINGTON SB 6251 (C12-954-RSM) - 8

orney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-8820 Fax (206) 296-8819

## **CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on July 5, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the United States District Court using the CM/ECF filing system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2012.

AMY MOE, Legal Secretary

ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT TO DECLARE INVALID AND ENJOIN ENFORCEMENT OF WASHINGTON SB 6251 (C12-954-RSM) - 9

Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney CIVIL DIVISION, Litigation Section 900 King County Administration Building 500 Fourth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 296-8820 Fax (206) 296-8819